

\* All data includes 2008-09 schools. Overview and demographic data are as reported by the Research and Policy Support Group. Progress Report and Quality Review data are as reported by the Office of Accountability. Satisfaction data are as reported by the Chancellor's Office.

## Fordham

### OVERVIEW

<b>SSO</b>	<b>Fordham</b>	
<b>Chief Executive</b>	<b>Dr. Anita Batisti</b>	
<b>Number of Schools</b>	<b>10</b>	
% Elementary/Early Childhood	<b>50.0</b>	
% Middle school	<b>30.0</b>	
% High school	<b>20.0</b>	
% K-8/K-12	<b>N/A</b>	
% 6-12	<b>N/A</b>	
% Transfer	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Boroughs Served</b>		
% Bronx	<b>50.0</b>	
% Brooklyn	<b>10.0</b>	
% Manhattan	<b>30.0</b>	
% Queens	<b>10.0</b>	
% Staten Island	<b>N/A</b>	

### STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

<b>Total Register</b>	<b>5,330</b>
Average Register	<b>533</b>
<b>Gender</b>	
% Male	<b>52.0</b>
% Female	<b>48.0</b>
<b>Ethnicity</b>	
% Asian	<b>5.8</b>
% Black	<b>31.0</b>
% Hispanic	<b>55.3</b>
% White	<b>6.5</b>
<b>Other</b>	
% English Language Learners	<b>21.9</b>
% Special Education	<b>13.9</b>
% Free/Reduced Lunch	<b>68.7</b>

### ACCOUNTABILITY METRICS

<b>Progress Report</b>	<i>2007-08</i>	<i>2006-07</i>	<b>Principal Satisfaction</b>		
Average Score	<b>51.3</b>	<b>52.2</b>		<i>% Very Satisfied</i>	<i>% Satisfied</i> <i>% Overall</i>
% As	<b>10.0</b>	<b>11.1</b>	SSO	<b>60%</b>	<b>40%</b> <b>100%</b>
% Bs	<b>50.0</b>	<b>44.4</b>	Network Leader	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b> <b>N/A</b>
<b>Quality Review</b>				<i>* % Very Satisfied and % Satisfied may not equal % Overall due to rounding.</i>	
% Outstanding/Well Developed	<b>60.0</b>	<b>22.2</b>			
% Proficient	<b>40.0</b>	<b>44.4</b>			

**SSO and Network Data Summary, 2007-08**

**Factor Weights**

Progress Report:	60%
Quality Review:	20%
Principal Satisfaction:	20%
Total (should be 100%)	100%

**A. School Support Organizations Sorted by Weighted Average Horizon Score**

SSO	Schools*	Progress Report		Quality Review		Satisfaction		Overall Horizon
		Score	Horizon	Score	Horizon	Score	Horizon	
CUNY	13	63.5	76%	3.23	50%	91%	59%	67%
Empowerment	485	60.3	62%	3.28	53%	95%	76%	63%
Leadership LSO	115	57.7	50%	3.28	53%	94%	73%	55%
CEI-PEA	58	57.6	49%	3.43	64%	90%	57%	54%
Replications, Inc.	9	55.1	38%	3.29	54%	100%	100%	53%
ICI LSO	368	58.9	55%	3.26	52%	89%	48%	53%
New Visions	59	58.3	53%	2.84	22%	95%	78%	51%
Fordham	11	55.8	41%	3.09	40%	95%	77%	48%
Community LSO	165	55.9	42%	3.10	40%	94%	72%	47%
Knowledge LSO	97	51.2	21%	3.36	59%	99%	97%	44%
AED	10	49.8	14%	2.89	25%	90%	55%	24%



\* Count of schools meeting the criteria to the above

Note: for 2007/08 Quality Review, 5 = Outstanding, 4 = Well Developed, 2 = Proficient, 1 = UPF, 0 = Underdeveloped.

Note: scores are combined by comparing to a network-level horizon (i.e. range). The horizon is calculated in the same way as it is for the Progress Report. See the following page for a detailed explanation.

Note: all data included in the overall score reflect 2007-08 outcomes. Fall 2008-09 principal satisfaction results are not included and are for informational purposes only.

## Overview

- The SSO data summary focuses on three components of accountability:
  - Progress Report*: Average Overall Score
  - Quality Review*: Points on a scale from 0 to 5, where 5 = Outstanding, 4 = Well Developed, 2 = Proficient, 1 = UPF, 0 = Underdeveloped
  - Principal Satisfaction*: Percent of affiliated principals who reported that they were satisfied or very satisfied
- Each score is compared to a network horizon, similar to the Progress Report methodology. The horizon is the range of average scores on that particular measure for that particular SSO. An SSO's horizon score indicates where its average score falls, as a percent, in relation to the high point of the range.
- Scores on all three components are combined to produce a weighted overall score for the SSO: Progress Reports account for 60% of the overall score, Quality Reviews 20%, and Principal Satisfaction 20%.
- The graph depicts the weighted overall score as well as each of the weighted sub-scores. To review un-weighted scores or sub-section scores individually, refer to the first score listed under each section; this is the mathematical average.
- All results used in the overall scores reflect 2007-08 outcomes. Fall 2008-09 satisfaction results are not included and are for informational purposes only.

## Example

- As an example, an SSO might have the following scores that would result in the horizon scores in the chart below:
  - 60.7** average overall score on the Progress Report
  - 3.28** average score on the Quality Review
  - 94.8%** of principals satisfied or very satisfied

